

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/214,124	LOPEZ LASTRA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.	1636

All Participants:

(1) Sherry M. Carty.

(3) ____.

(2) Quang Nguyen.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 19 March 2004

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted attorney Carty on 3/16/04 to propose amendments as they appear in Examiner's Amendment, so that the application is in conditions for allowance. Attorney Carty accepted the proposed amendments on 3/19/04

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)